3

P.R. No. 1090/06
Presented by Peryan Shrivastava
dated 28/12/06

SINGLE BENCH

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1012 /2006

Tilak Ram Patel,
S/o- Shri Bagrai Patel,
Aged about 50 years,
Presently working as Sub-Jailor,
District Jail- Raigarh,
Distt. Raigarh,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through- Secretary,
Deptt. of Home (Jail),
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Director General of Police (Jail),
Jail Headquarter, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

3. Shri B.K. Neeraj,
Presently working as Sub-Jailor,
Sub Jail- Surajpur,
Distt. Surguja,
Chhattisgarh. **RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

COMMISSIONER

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.1012/.. **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4-8-2006 Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 & 2. Mrs. Hamida Siddique, counsel for respondent No.3. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the transfer order dated 23-2-2006 passed by respondent No.1 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from District Jail: Raigarh to Sub Jail: Sukma. At the out set, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's children are studying at Raigarh and therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 & 2 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, on its own merits, in accordance with law. Interim order granted earlier stands vacated. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.786/2006 and I.A.No.3022/2006 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge** P.T.O.	
Soma		